

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No.: 10/696241

Amendment dated: May 9, 2005

Reply to Office action of February 8, 2003

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In printing the specification, a word processor error changed the symbol μ (Greek letter "mu") to the letter "m". The above amendments to the specification and claims correct the error. A person of ordinary skill in the art of friction materials, would readily recognize that 5mm, i.e., 1/2 cm is an absurdly large protrusion diameter in the context of a friction mating member. Moreover, the discussion in paragraph 0038, stating that comparative example 3 had too high a base member surface roughness, when considered together with the 1.0 μm figure given in FIG. 5 and the expressed preference for a "0.07 mm" maximum base member surface roughness in paragraph 0026, makes it clear that the use of mm is erroneous, and that it should be μm instead. Finally the expression "m-V" for the relationship between friction coefficient and speed should obviously have been " μ -V", the symbol μ being a standard symbol for the coefficient of friction (Machinery's Handbook, 15th Edition, 1954, pp. 516, copy enclosed). In short, the erroneous use of m for μ would have been recognized by a person skilled in the art, and its correction does not introduce new matter.

Claim 1 has been amended by incorporating in it the substance of claims 2 and 3. Claims that are directly or indirectly dependent on claim 2 or claim 3 have been cancelled. Claim 1, the only independent claim now in the application, is now directed to a friction member having the following features:

Application No.: 10/696241
Amendment dated: May 9, 2005
Reply to Office action of February 8, 2003

- (A) a hard coating in which the elastic range¹ is at least 50%;
- (B) a surface roughness in the range of 4 to 50 nm (excluding the semispherical protrusions); and
- (C) semispherical protrusions having diameters of 1 to 5 μm on the outer surface of the hard coating;
- (D) the protrusions occupying at least 3% of the area of the outer surface of the hard coating; and
- (F) the arithmetic average surface roughness R_a of the base member not greater than 0.07 μm .

As shown in FIG. 5, only in the example having the combination of features A, B, D, and F, does the coefficient of friction increase monotonically with speed. This results in a superior shudder-suppressing effect, as shown in FIG. 6.

Comparative Example 1 is the TiN coated clutch separator plate of Yesnik, which corresponds to JP 272517/1992, cited in paragraph 0008. This example has an elastic range less than 50%, and no protrusions, and exhibits a high friction coefficient in the low speed range, and a relatively high degree of shudder, compared with the example in accordance with the invention.

Kataoka teaches the use of projections on the surface of a clutch plate to establish a "respiration" effect by which oil is drawn into the clearances between surfaces (Kataoka column 5, lines 45-57), and a vibration buffering effect due to vibration of the projections 13. (Kataoka, column 5, line 64 - column 6, line 6). Kataoka does not teach how to

¹A shorthand expression for the ratio of elastic deformation to maximum indentation depth, in a load range of 1 to 50 mN (See paragraph. 0022)


Application No.: 10/696241
Amendment dated: May 9, 2005
Reply to Office action of February 8, 2003

maintain a positive gradient in the μ -V curve, using protrusions. Even if Yesnik's clutch separator plate were provided with protrusions such as those of Kataoka, the result would not have a hard coating with an elastic range of at least 50% (Feature A). Moreover, neither patent suggests the specific combination of features A-F listed above and recited in claim 1, as amended.

Accordingly, the references do not demonstrate obviousness of the invention as now defined in claim 1, as amended, and claim 1, and the remaining dependent claims should be found allowable. The applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration and the issuance of a notice of allowance.

Respectfully submitted,
HOWSON & HOWSON

By


George A. Smith, Jr.
Reg. No. 24,442

Howson & Howson
Box 457

Spring House, PA 19477
Telephone: 215 540 9200
Facsimile: 215 540 5818

Enclosure:
title page and page 515
from "Machinery's Handbook"

MACHINERY'S HANDBOOK

FOR MACHINE SHOP
AND DRAFTING-ROOM

A REFERENCE BOOK ON MACHINE DESIGN AND SHOP PRACTICE FOR THE MECHANICAL ENGINEER, DRAFTSMAN, TOOLMAKER, AND MACHINIST

BY

ERIK OBERG AND F. D. JONES

FIFTEENTH EDITION

HOLBROOK L. HORTON, Editor

THE INDUSTRIAL PRESS

148 Lafayette Street, New York 13, N. Y.

Sole Distributors for the British Empire:

MACHINERY PUBLISHING CO., LTD.

National House, West St., Brighton 1, England

1954

Hollow and Solid

Inches

[illegible]
$$F = \mu \times N, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \frac{F}{N}$$
$$\mu = \frac{F}{N} = \frac{7}{28} = 0.25$$

A greater force is required to start a body from a state of rest than to merely keep it in motion, because the *friction of rest* is greater than the *friction of motion*.

$$\text{Resistance to rolling, in pounds} = \frac{W \times f}{r}$$

Laws of Friction. — The earliest experiments made on friction, which led to the establishment of definite laws, were undertaken by Morin and Rennie about 1830. The laws laid down by these early investigators, however, have been considerably modified by later investigations. The following may be considered as a correct statement of the laws of friction in their modified form, for unlubricated or dry surfaces.

2. The friction both in its total amount and its coefficient is independent of the areas in contact, so long as the total pressure remains the same. This is true for moderate pressures only. For high pressures, this law is modified in the same way as in the first case.

3. At very low velocities the friction is independent of the velocity of rubbing. As the velocities increase, the friction decreases.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.